G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

6-7-1-

1-1-1-

Later references.

1913.

(Dharwar)

File No. 651

Serial Nos. 6, 7. 8. 9. 10. 11 + 12.

1-18

Shimoga 67 43-1-5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6 7. 8. 9. 10. 11 + 12

Part B for August 1915

SUBJECT.

Lease of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Gangavisweswara devaru temple at Begwalli Sirsi taluk.

To, The D.C. Shimoga

No. 565 Dy 19.8.15

Eng

Forwards for disposal petns received from Inam Timmanna Bhatta

re

GPB—10,000—23 3 15

Chikmagalur Clerk

VII

G. R. S. 11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No 651/1913

FILE HEADING.

Lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Gangadhareshwara Devaru Temple at Begunalli in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

From

Manjappayya, Thro. his Advocate.

Receipt - Petition Dated 6.5.1915 Received 6.5.1915

Diary No. 1787	Enclos 2	Spare Copies.	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for a re-consideration of Govt Pro No 2102-3 Dy 31st March 1915 directing the Cancellation of the existing lease of the inam lands in question —

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Govt order of 31st March 1915 which is sought to be reviewed is based on the Dy. Commrs. letter No. 228/3/4 Dy 30.6.14. For reasons set forth in this letter,

GPB—20,000—8 8 14

Serial No.

Govt directed that the Dy Commr might proceed to cancel the current lease after such legal notice as might be necessary and take over possession of the garden.

Manjappayya, present lessee of these lands pray that the above order may be reviewed and his possession of the lands continued till the expiry of the full period of his lease.

The grounds for review are:—

(1) the allegation that the lessee has kept the lands in a neglected condition is without any foundation they were really in better condition when they were leased out to him, owing to the gross mismanagement of the Valiamadar Nanjappayya.

(2) the petr has spent large sums of money for the improvement of the garden, has kept the lands in a proper condition and has been regularly paying the rents.

(3) the petr has done nothing against the terms of the lease deed justifying his eviction,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

SPB—25 000—2 11 14

G. R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 651 of 1913.

Serial No.

(4) the petr is a resident of the village in which the lands are situated and has his own lands paying an assessment of Rs 400/-
(5) the petr has furnished security to the extent of Rs 3000/- for the due performance of the terms of the lease which is yet to run for 4 years; and if at the expiration of the term, it is found that the lands have deteriorated in value, the Govt may enforce the penalty contained in the bond executed against him.

He prays that a local inspection may kindly be caused to be made regarding the present actual state of the garden as compared with its condition before the lease, and that the matter may be disposed of after duly hearing him. He also prays that the order directing him to handover possession of the lands may be directed to be stayed pending disposal of his review by Govt.

The petr has produced the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 14

G. R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 681 of 1915.

Serial No.

order dy 19.4.1915.
original ~~notice~~ issued to him from the Taluk office at Tirthahalli. The order states that within one months from the date of the service of this order, Manjappayya should have ~~make~~ over the possession of the lands to Govt.
Submitted for orders.
AM 4.5.1915.
BL

Put up the files connected the dealing with the sanction when been issued. I believe there were certain improvement charges too.
Sd
11.5.15.

Attention is respectfully invited to G.O. no 191-4 dy 10th November 1906.
The question of the lease of the temple lands has been discussed in paras 12 and 13 of the Dy. Commrs letter read in extenso in this order. Govt have sanctioned the Dy. Commrs proposal to lease out the lands for 10 years and the payment of an advance of ~~Rs~~ 5Rs to the Raigals for cultivating

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—95 000—2 11 14

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 651 of 191 3

Serial No.

These lands.
Submitted
12.5.43

Sub'd 5-2 Nr. remarks.

From a perusal of the records it is seen that the sanction of Govt. for the actual conditions under which the loan was originally granted, have been retained and no reference to these conditions in the Secretariat files can be traced therefore.

7z.c

From the notice issued by the amildar filed with the appeal it is clear that a condition of keeping the garden without determination is also found in the mutchalika. Some delay in action having been noticed in the growing plants, one years time was granted to the petitioner to improve the condition of these plants. At a subsequent inspection no improvement has been noticed and the Dy. Commr. was of opinion that the terms of the loan have not been satisfactorily carried out

7q.A
(7.no 1404 -11)
Pract sep

7q P

& there are circumstances the Dy Commr was authorized to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

G R S 19]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 651 of 1913.

Serial No.

Cancel the existing lease. The present petition is against the step taken by the local authorities to terminate the lease. As regards the allegation of the petitioner that the property was not inspected at all, the Dy. Comr. expressly states that he inspected the garden in March '13 during journey, and noticed nothing attention.

The petitioner prays stay of order pending disposal. Under ordinary circumstances this would not matter, but in the present case neglect has been alleged and fraud against the petitioner, and it may not be expedient to keep the order under abeyance and allow further opportunities of neglect. At best the petitioner can have a right to prosecution for loss of profit and he may be allowed to establish his case. It is however not just to the petitioner to permit that there is nothing to show that he was asked to show cause why the lease should be cancelled

If approved the petn may be told that Govt see no reason to order stay of the order and that the Govt will be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 14

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 651 of 1913.

Serial No.

willing to give him a hearing on the merits of the case

Rec
13.5 [illegible]

A report may be called for from the Dy C= as to what has actually been done under the first order. If the [illegible] issued notice to him in the manner directed in the first O., he cannot have appeared before [illegible] for this reason that [illegible].

21/5/15

Submitted with a Dft

A[illegible] 8/5
22.5.15 22/5/15 Sanction 24/5

7 To the Dy Comr of Shimoga - No: 2763 dt 28.5.15, for the above petn for early report thereon.

8 From, the Dy Commr, Shimoga Dt 8-7-1915
Letter no. 15/6
Submits further report called for in Sl. 7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 14

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. ( ) of 191 .

Serial No.

The Dy. Commissioner reports that on receipt of the Govt. order the lease was cancelled and the Amildar was called upon to issue a notice to the lessee to give up the lands to Govt. within one month from the date of service of notice (for) time, failing which steps would be taken to take possession of the lands. The notice issued by the Amildar was served on the petitioner on 29th April 1915, but the latter protested stating that the lands could not be made over to Govt. till his review-application was finally disposed of by Govt.

Orders are solicited on the review-application.

Submd. for orders.

MLNSJ 12-7-15 BG 12-7-15

Subd. to 1st member

No opportunity appears to have been given to the petitioner to represent his case. Further time should now be given to him to vacate until

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191

Serial No.

the end of the agricultural season which I believe is also June in the Malwat. The petitioner appears to think that if necessary the revision may be posted for hearing. The O.C. could hearing on inspection definitely form a certain amount of neglect; it is not clear how the petitioner is going to proceed. The objection

Lee
27.7.15

An order may issue that if the Petr has not yet been dispossessed, he may be given an opportunity of showing that the fasdem has not been neglected & a final order passed thereafter. The other party (Tummanoballa) should also be asked to be present & allowed to adduce any proof on the other side.

30/7/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Dept. Letter 4 A2 Cover
Ka & endorsement.
31/7/15 Dept.

GPB—25,000—30 4 15

31/7/15

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 641 of 191

Serial No.

Submitted with 2 Dfts
MC941
2.8.1915. SL
12/8/15

2/8/15

10 To, The Dy Commr, Chingleput Dt.
Letter no 439 Dt 4.8.1915.
Directs that if the Lessee Ramjappaya has not yet been disposed of, the Temple inam land, a final order may be passed after giving him an opportunity of showing that the grounds have not been neglected, and also that the other party (Thirumana Bhatta) should be allowed to adduce any proof on the other side.

10 To, Ramjappaiya. Instr no. 460 Dft 6/8/15
Intimates the above.

11/10 From, Thirumana Bhatta Petition Dt 12.7.15
7.8.15.
Complains that Ramjappaiya is not proper, spoiles the Temple land etc and prays for the necessary relief.

Submtd. with a Dft forwarding the MC941 petition to the Dy. Commr, in Contn
11.8.1915 SL
12/8/15

2/8/15

sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4-15.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 651 of 1913

Serial No.	
12	

sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

COURT FEE

TWO RUPEES

Presented in person
work up and submitted.
case. Stay order is
prayed for
Sen
6/5/15

Before the Govt of H H the
Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore
(Nuzrai)

Petitioner :— Manjabhayya

Encl 1787
19 MAY 1915
SECTION
FILE NO.
SERIAL NO. 6

The allegations of the Petitioner are —

The Management of Sri Ganga-
Begawala, Tattakalli Tq
Viswevara Temple & its Inam lands
were resumed by Govt in pursuance
of G O No 131-C Dated 10-11-08,
Mus 113-08-2
owing to the Valuvadar Timmappa's
Mismanagement of the Institution
& improper alienation of the Inam lands.

Petitioner has been the lessee of
the said Inam lands by virtue of
his purchase from Govt of the
Sagawala lake in them. He has been
regularly paying the rent reserved
under the lease deed. He has spent
large sums of money by way of
improving the garden, & has kept
the lands in a proper condition.
He has done nothing against the
terms of the lease deed justifying his
eviction.

The allegation that the Petitioner
has kept the Inam lands in a
neglected condition is without any
foundation. They were really so,
before they were leased out when
owing to the gross mismanagement

of the Valivalthan Thirumanbhaga. They have now been brought to satisfactory condition by the Petitioner after a great deal of expense & expenditure. This is a question of fact, & to ascertain it, a local Inspection is absolutely necessary. This has not been done yet. Nor has there been a proper enquiry into the matter in the presence of the Petitioner.

This was attended to & done by ... with ...

Petitioner is a resident of the village in which the Inam lands are situate, & he has his own lands there paying an assessment of Rs 400/- He has furnished security to the extent of Rs 3,000/- for the due performance of the terms of the lease. The lease is yet to run for a period of four years from the expiration of this term, it is found as a matter of fact that the lands covered under the lease have deteriorated in value, owing to the neglect of the Petitioner, the Govt may by all means enforce the penalty contained in the lease-deed against him & his property furnished as security.

This is not a fact. He has not [illegible]

Under these circumstances, the order of Govt. directing cancellation of the lease is, the Petitioner most respectfully submits, unsustainable.

The Petitioner most respectfully prays that a local inspection may

Kindly be caused the made
regarding the present actual state of the
garden as compared with its condition
before the lease. He also prays
that he may be heard in person
regarding the merits of this petition.

Petitioner further prays that
the order directing him to hand
over possession of the lands to Govt.,
may Kindly be directed the stayed
pending disposal of this petition by
Govt

C. Krishna Rao
Advocate for Peti
6 May '15





.....No..... .....of

Before the Govt of H H the Maha Raj
Ullpur Bangalore
(Mysore)

Petr.

Manjabhargya Vs.

I, Manjabhargya
the Petitioner in the above
do hereby appoint C. KRISHNA RAU Esq., B. A., B.L., Advocate
Chief Court Bangalore
to conduct it for me and on my behalf, and to do all the acts
and appearances necessary for the same.

In witness whereof I have set my hand this 6th day
of May 1915

Signature.

I certify that this Vakalatnama was signed in my presence and ~~accept it~~ I know the party

C Krishnarao

accepted
C. Krishnarao
Advocate. 6 May '15

శ్రీ దుర్ముఖుల కాల్లూరు అమలే బాంధవ వరణిబ్బే-

శ్రీ దుర్ముఖుల కాల్లూరు ప్రసూం బిడ్డ్యాబ్బా ధర్మావధానులవారూం బె మ్వేయ్యూబూబాద-
కేంతాడ అభిధ-

శా 24. 5. 1915

నా నుమాంతధర్మిడ్యా బ్బాబిడవలు శ్రీగంగా
వి శ్వేశ్వరదేవరబూబ్లు స బ్రిగ్రా మదనివేశనం 183-184 గీజిల్లాధర్మ
స్నగౌర్న మోంబ్ 191-4 గీ నంబరు తీర్మాదుమేరకు శా 13.3.09 ర
బ్లూ నరదరుం జిన్లి 10 వత్సరాదసాసువర్ణాబోర్కగ్నసాంతంబూ నా రూపా
యలు 360-0-0 మున్నూరు అరవక్తి రూపాయలు మిగ్గకేంతువత్సరాల్
మోగిశితరహదవాగిన్లిపతీదు. ఈబన్నగోణిశ్వరారాబుమక్కు గోణిసాంత్రిబ
న్నెనన్నలు శరజిమోన్లి దిగుబత్రకరహనిధారిగల్కే బరిదుకోంకు/ అజిసోప్రాబూ
తినికోం తిరుగ్కేనే.

ఈగ తిరుగవ్వాదాందబూ గోణిశ్వరక్కు మోరిజ
మోగిసాగువ్వామాంతికోంతు నశాలద్దిమ్మిగీసాంవత్సరమూంబ్ బాందిరుగ్కోనే.
తిందేబర్మక అరూంటువాదకోంబరన్నసాంలోగోసాంయుమాంతినూబ్
బాందిరుగ్కోనే. బాగాయ్తుజమోగుడ్డు 10-12 వత్సరాలనయినూగిబోసాంయు
నశిపుగంక్రతిబాంతిగెబరక్కర్మద్దేతినకు 4-5 వత్సరాద్దిబరక్కర్మద్దిల్లు. తిందిరుబూ
నన్నరూంబురూంబు అజిమాంలు చేసి. తిబాం: క్రామస్థరకనిబింకూంవుదూంబు
ల్లిదిగోణి క్రొవ్వనోనిలోవాడిరువుదుంతి శా 27.4.15 రత్లు మోంబాగానోసాంద
రాగిరుక్కే. ఈతిసాంతియూనాబర్మదలాగువాడిలుంబ జమిల్గాబోసాంయున
తినుక్కొనోణిక్కరాంతినంకెనడాంబుక్కొమిగ్గే నశాలద్దిసాంవత్సరమాంత్రుక్రొబాంధాన్ను
రాధర్మణిల్లదిగోణి క్రొవ్వనోనితకూంతిరువుదు అన్యాయవూగిరుక్కే. గోణిబోత్రి
బన్నెనన్నమోకెబాబ్లు 3000-0-0 రుపాలు చేతిబాధ్యక్కే జమిల్గోనక్కరణ్ణిద్దో
రుమాంతికోంచిధే. బాదువేధగోణివాలుదగంక్రజిమోనోవంబాంయుశరకోం
శా అజిమాలు మీలూంధగోణిశిగీమూర్తటుబ్దిద్దళంకలూ తిగజిమోనుతీనశ్శిశి
గే బాందిరుక్కేయంబుదుస్థోవిభళ్లిలూంబాబ్లు ఎక్కోనన్న దిగుబ్స్తోకినిలవ
లూంలుశరిలనిలూంధలూం నధగనిరాధారదవరు బరకూంతికోక్కలుంచూ

వ అభ్యంతరమూ లూరిల్లా డోగిరుక్కా కారణాల్లుదలుగాన్నియమా గి
గేణిశిక్ష నేనలేకూడిరువుదా గి నోటీసు ఇవ్వకపోరుక్కే.

ఈ బన్నేకా 7. 5. 1915 రల్లు నవక్కమొదిగే
అమీలేకూడికేకూడిరుక్కేనే. లూఅజిదీలు శ్వర్ధనాకువరగీసుమిక్కా
బిన్నప్పకోడలు అవకాశవిరువ దిల్లువాదదంగ్రి అంకేకూడికొరులక్కేనే.

నగువలేకూడిక్రియాజుఅరుబా

Vol I

G. R. S. 26]

[Forwards petition from Manjappa lessee of the inam lands endowed to Sri Sangameshwara Devara temple at Begur

File No. 651/G/13

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to 1787

No. 2763 Ex 3
651-13-7

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

To

The Dy. Commr,
Shimoga Dt

Adverting to Govt Pro. no. 21023 Dy 31st March 1915 directing the Cancellation of the existing Case of the inam lands endowed to Sri Sangameshwara Devara temple at Begur alias Tirthahalli Taluk, has the honor to forward herewith revision petition Regd no. 1787 received from the present

Date of issue 28-5-1915

Signed by Mr Ramachandra Rao

over.

SUBJECT.

praying for a review of the order cited above and to request the submission of the very early report

lessee Iruvappaiya ~~The submission of for a very early report~~ as to what has actually been done under the said order.

~~final~~ ~~order~~ ~~passed~~.

By order

Sd/-

25/5

Jm/- Secretary

1st Mr. Mc Watt's order

RG

22/5/18

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8 11

1905-16.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department,
(Muzrai)

Dated Bangalore.

Despatched 8th Jul 1915.

Received 9/7 —

General No. 15

Muz. No. 6

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his letter No. 2723 Muz. 251-13-7 dated 20th May 1915 reports on the petition from Anjaneya for the revision of Government order referred to in the letter.

GPB—75 reams—25 5-10

No. 15
Ex 3. Thurji'b

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District
Dated Shimoga 8th July 1915.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire., B...,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

D.V. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B...B.L.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments, (uzra)
Bangalore.

MAY 1915
13/5/16
SECTION
FILE No. 65-7-13
SERIAL No. 8

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No.2702 Muz.651-13-7 dated 28th May 1915 forwarding review petition (registered No.1737) from Manjappaiya praying for the revision of the Government order No.2102/3/Muz.651-13-5 dated 31st March 1915 directing the cancellation of the existing lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Gangavisweswara Devaru temple at Beguvalli in the Tirthahalli taluk and enquiring what has actually been done under the said order I have the honor to state that on receipt of the Government order cited above the lease was cancelled and the Amildar of Tirthahalli taluk was called upon to issue a notice to the lessee to give back the lands to Government within one month from the date of service of notice to him failing which steps would be taken to take possession of the lands and to hand them over to Tudur Thimanna Bhatta after execution by him of a formal lease deed for a fixed period of 15 years.

2. The notice issued by the Amildar in accordance with the above order was served on the petitioner on the 27th April 1915. He again protested on 25th May 1915 stating that the lands could not be made over to Government till his review application was finally disposed of by Government.

3. The enclosures received with your docket under advertance

are returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

our most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

C.W.R.

G.R S 26]

SUBJECT Re Review petition from the petitioner for revision of the Sub meeting held on the 7 Sangaviruvan

Diary No of letter replied to 59

Section

File No.

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

No

Date of issue 4 · 8 · 1915

Signed by V Arasanmuthu

To

The Dy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no 15/6 dated 8th July 1915 reporting on the review petition from Manjappa-iyya praying for a re-consideration of the Govt order directing the Cancellation of the existing lease of certain inam lands endowed to

over

Sri Gangavisweswara
Devaru Temple at Begavalli,
Tirthahalli Taluk, I am
directed to state that
if the petitioner Manjappaiyya
has not yet been dis-
possessed, he may be
given an opportunity
of showing that the garden
has not been neglected ~~and a final order passed~~ for a final order.
~~thereafter~~ and a final order may
be passed thereafter
I am to add
that the other party-
(Thimmanabhatta) should
also be asked to be
present and allowed to
adduce any proof on
~~the~~ other side.

List of enclosures.

~~Petition D. 1787 from Manjappaiyya~~
~~and 2 other encls. are herewith~~
~~returned.~~

Memo: of your letter under reply are returned herewith for reference in connection together with other records with the case.

Copied by

Examined by

I have the
honour
to be
Sir
Your obedient
servant
Secretary
Revenue
Dept.

GPS—20,000—14-2-11

15

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No 440 Dy 4-8-915
Mur 651-13-10

(Through Mr C. Krishna Rao, Advocate, Bangalore)

With reference to his petition registered - no 1787 Dy 6th May 1915 praying for the ~~review~~ reconsideration of the Govt order directing the cancellation of the existing lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Sangameswara Devaru temple at Begusalli in the Tirthahalli Taluk, Manjappaiya is informed ~~that orders have been issued to the Dy. Commissioner, Shimoga Dist.~~ to the effect that if the petitioner has not yet been dispossessed, he may be given ~~an opportunity of showing that the garden has not been neglected. And a final order passed thereafter.~~

that the Dy Com^r has been requested to give the petitioner a hearing in support of his allegations. He is therefore directed to appear before that officer

& submit the records of the case for the final order of Govt

By order

for Secretary
to Govt Revenue Dept
2/8/15.

242

651-13

ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರು — ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ
ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳು 1834ನೇ ಶತಮಾನದ
ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ
ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ
ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನವನ
ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ——

ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ
ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನವನ
ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನವನ ಮೂಲಕರಣಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಈ ಶತಮಾನದಲ್ಲಿ

1918

G. R. S. 26]

Forward in Cmte petition from Indian Nair inamdar Kistappa about the inam land of Sangaveshwara

File No. 651

Serial No. 13

Diary No. of letter replied to 242

No. C. 1. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

To

The Dy Commissioner,

Shimoga District

Date of issue 19. 8. 1915

Signed by Mr. Ramachandra Rao

In continuation of this office letter no 439 dated 4th August 1915 directing that the petitioner Ranjappaiya and his opponent Thimmana Bhatta may be heard before final orders are passed about the cancellation of the lease of the inam land endowed to Sri Sangaveshwara

over. Devan

SUBJECT.

Temple at Begurealli in the Tirthahalli Taluk, has the honor to forward herewith for disposal, petition* regd. no. 242 dt 12.7.1915 from Thimmanna Bhatta ~~Complaining~~ Complaining that ~~the~~ Manjappaiya has ~~spoiled~~ damaged the temple landscape, and praying for ~~it~~ their restoration to him.

(with its 8 enclosures)

By order
[illegible]
for Secretary.
Rev. Deptt
12.5.15

DB)

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4-3-13